

# Conditions for C- $\alpha$ continuity of Bezier Curves

10th November 2001

Peter J. Taylor <sup>1</sup>

## 1 Abstract

It is proved that for C- $\alpha$  continuity of Bezier curves of degree  $n$ , the constraints required at the join between curves  $P$  and  $Q$  are:

$$\forall \beta \leq \alpha . Q_\beta = 2^\beta \sum_{k=0}^{\beta} (-2)^k \binom{\beta}{k} P_{n-k}$$

## 2 Proof

A Bezier curve,  $P$  of degree  $n$  is defined by  $n + 1$  control points,  $P_i$  and parameterised by  $t$ :

$$P(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} (1-t)^{n-i} t^i P_i \quad (1)$$

The coefficients are clearly Binomial coefficients and if we take the bold algebraic step of identifying  $P_i$  with  $x_P^i$  for a dummy variable  $x_P$ , this becomes:

$$P(t) = (1-t + tx_P)^n \quad (2)$$

We can differentiate with respect to  $t$  consistently in this Algebra, since:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \sum f_i(t) x_P^i \right) = \sum \frac{d}{dt} (f_i(t) x_P^i) = \sum \left( \frac{d}{dt} f_i(t) \right) x_P^i$$

Then it is easily shown by induction that:

$$\frac{d^\alpha}{dt^\alpha} P(t) = n^\alpha (x_P - 1)^\alpha (1-t + tx_P)^{n-\alpha} \quad (3)$$

Since  $P$  is thus shown to be infinitely differentiable, the only constraints placed by C- $\alpha$  continuity are at the joins between Bezier curves. Suppose we have two

---

<sup>1</sup>The author would like to thank Andrew D. Rogers for typesetting this paper in L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X

curves of degree  $n$ :  $P$  and  $Q$ . Then for  $C-\alpha$  continuity we require  $C-(\alpha-1)$  continuity and:

$$\left. \frac{d^\alpha}{dt^\alpha} P(t) \right|_{t=1} = \left. \frac{d^\alpha}{dt^\alpha} Q(t) \right|_{t=0} \quad (4)$$

By substitution using (3), this reduces to:

$$(x_P - 1)^\alpha x_P^{n-\alpha} = (x_Q - 1)^\alpha \quad (5)$$

Thus:

$$x_P^{n-\alpha} \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{i} (-1)^i x_P^{\alpha-i} = \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{j} (-1)^{\alpha-j} x_Q^j \quad (6)$$

Or:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{i} (-1)^i P_{n-i} = (-1)^\alpha \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{j} (-1)^j Q_j \quad (7)$$

It is easy to show by induction on  $\alpha$  that this condition, combined with the constraints for  $C-(\alpha-1)$  continuity, allows us to express  $Q_j$  in the form:

$$Q_j = \sum_{k=0}^j P_{n-k} T_{j,k} \quad (8)$$

Where  $T_{a,b}$  is to be found.

Evaluation of the first few terms and a lookup in the On-Line Encyclopedia Of Integer Sequences [1] turned up sequence A038207 which appeared very similar. From this I conjectured:

$$T_{j,k} = (-1)^k 2^{j-k} \binom{j}{k} \quad (9)$$

The rest of this paper is a proof that this satisfies equation (7).

Substituting (8) into (7) gives:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{i} (-1)^i P_{n-i} = (-1)^\alpha \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{j} (-1)^j \sum_{k=0}^j P_{n-k} T_{j,k} \quad (10)$$

Whence:

$$(-1)^\alpha \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{i} (-1)^i P_{n-i} = \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{\alpha}{j} (-1)^j T_{j,k} P_{n-k} \quad (11)$$

If we consider the coefficient of  $P_{n-r}$ , where  $r \leq \alpha$ , we find

$$(-1)^\alpha \binom{\alpha}{r} (-1)^r = \sum_{j=r}^{\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{j} (-1)^j T_{j,r} \quad (12)$$

So my task is complete if I can prove:

$$\sum_{j=r}^{\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{j} (-1)^j (-1)^r 2^{j-r} \binom{j}{r} \stackrel{?}{=} (-1)^{\alpha+r} \binom{\alpha}{r} \quad (13)$$

The left-hand side can be tidied up and the  $2^r$  transferred to give:

$$\sum_{j=r}^{\alpha} (-2)^j \binom{\alpha}{j} \binom{j}{r} \stackrel{?}{=} (-1)^{\alpha} 2^r \binom{\alpha}{r} \quad (14)$$

Let:

$$S(\alpha, j) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (-2)^j \binom{\alpha}{j} \binom{j}{r} \quad (15)$$

The the Maple package EKHAD [2] by D. Zeilberger tells you that if:

$$G(\alpha, j) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{(r-j)(\alpha+1)}{\alpha-j+1} S(\alpha, j) \quad (16)$$

Then:

$$(\alpha+1)S(\alpha, j) + (\alpha-r+1)S(\alpha+1, j) = G(\alpha, j+1) - G(\alpha, j) \quad (17)$$

This is easily verified by hand. Then summing over all  $j$ ,

$$(\alpha+1) \sum_j S(\alpha, j) + (\alpha-r+1) \sum_j S(\alpha+1, j) = 0 \quad (18)$$

since  $G$  has compact support ( $S(\alpha, j) = 0$  unless  $0 \leq j \leq \alpha$ ). Therefore:

$$(\alpha+1) \sum_j (-2)^j \binom{\alpha}{j} \binom{j}{r} = (r-\alpha-1) \sum_j (-2)^j \binom{\alpha+1}{j} \binom{j}{r} \quad (19)$$

But since  $\binom{\alpha}{j}$  has compact support, we can restrict  $j$  thus:

$$(\alpha+1) \sum_{j=r}^{\alpha} (-2)^j \binom{\alpha}{j} \binom{j}{r} = (r-\alpha-1) \sum_{j=r}^{\alpha+1} (-2)^j \binom{\alpha+1}{j} \binom{j}{r} \quad (20)$$

I am now ready to prove (14) by induction on  $\alpha$ :

Case  $\alpha = 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS of (14)} &= 0 \\ \text{RHS of (14)} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose (14) holds for  $\alpha = \alpha'$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=r}^{\alpha'+1} (-2)^j \binom{\alpha'+1}{j} \binom{j}{r} &= \frac{\alpha'+1}{r-\alpha'-1} \sum_{j=r}^{\alpha'} (-2)^j \binom{\alpha'}{j} \binom{j}{r} \quad \text{by (20)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha'+1}{r-\alpha'-1} (-1)^{\alpha'} 2^r \binom{\alpha'}{r} \quad \text{by inductive hypothesis} \\ &= (-1)^{\alpha'+1} 2^r \frac{\alpha'+1}{\alpha'+1-r} \binom{\alpha'}{r} \\ &= (-1)^{\alpha'+1} 2^r \binom{\alpha'+1}{r} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (14) holds  $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}$  □

We can thus conclude that the conditions for C- $\alpha$  continuity are:

$$\forall \beta \leq \alpha. Q_\beta = 2^\beta \sum_{k=0}^{\beta} (-2)^k \binom{\beta}{k} P_{n-k} \quad (21)$$

## References

- [1] N. J. A. Sloane. *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*.  
<http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/>, 2001.
- [2] Doron Zielberger. *EKHAD*.  
<http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/tokhniot/EKHAD>.